

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,352	03/09/2004	Bruce M. Mueller	SEA0820P1271US	1983	
32116	116 7590 11/25/2005		EXAMINER		
WOOD, PHILLIPS, KATZ, CLARK & MORTIMER			TENTON	TENTONI, LEO B	
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO,	IL 60661		1732	-	

DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/796,352	MUELLER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	ı	Leo B. Tentoni	1732				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is not of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused the sound will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 At This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine	vn from consideration.					
10) 🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 03092004;07262004	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/796,352 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 1, the status of the parent application should be updated.

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: COMPRESSION MOLDING PROCESS.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered

Art Unit: 1732

therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wood (U.S. Patent 6,321,923 B1) in combination with either EP 01810274.9 or Gonser et al (U.S. Patent 6,416,312 B1).

Wood (see the entire document, in particular, col. 4, lines 26-28; col. 11, line 57 to col. 12, line 49; Figures) teaches a process of making an article of unitary construction (e.g., a closure) as set forth in the instant claims (while Wood does not explicitly teach the mold structure recited in the instant claims, such mold structure is implicit in Wood principally because Wood (see especially the Figures) teaches a closure having the structure set forth in the instant specification), except for the aspect of the billet, which is taught by EP 01810274.9 (see the entire document) or Gonser et al (see the entire document, in particular, col. 1, lines 5-16) and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 1732

the time the invention was made in the process of Wood in view of either Ep 01810274.9 or Gonser et al principally in order to perform a compression molding process.

Page 4

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Orcutt (U.S. Patent 4,504,435 A) teaches a process of making a semiconductor device including compression molding a billet (or pellet) of material using two mold parts having a structure (see especially the Figures) as set forth in the instant claims, except that the pellet of material is thermosetting (material is heated to cure), not thermoplastic.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,352 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leo B. Tentoni

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

lbt